

Received: August 15, 2020  
 Accepted: October 21, 2020

ISSN 1857-9027  
 e-ISSN 1857-9949  
 UDC: 512.723  
 DOI: 10.20903/csnmbs.masa.2020.41.2.163

*Original scientific paper*

## ON A CLASS OF PRESENTATIONS IN VARIETIES OF VECTOR VALUED SEMIGROUPS

Irena Stojmenovska

University American College, Skopje, Republic of Macedonia  
 e-mail: irena.stojmenovska@uacs.edu.mk

*To the memory of Professor Gjorgji Čupona, with deep respect and immense gratitude*

We define a special class of  $(n, m)$ -semigroup presentations in vector varieties of  $(n, m)$ -semigroups and apply previously obtained results on existence of effective reductions within, under certain conditions. As a consequence, good combinatorial descriptions are provided.

**Key words:**  $(n, m)$ -semigroup,  $(n, m)$ -presentation, variety, reduction

### INTRODUCTION

This work is a continuation of our results presented in [7, 8, 9]. In [10] we have discussed the word problem solvability for some classes of vector  $(n, m)$ -presentations. Here we try to apply some of those results for varieties of  $(n, m)$ -semigroups, in particular for some classes of vector varieties of  $(n, m)$ -semigroups. The introductory notions, basic definitions, and properties are incorporated in the review paper [10], that is our main reference paper. Bellow we annex few additional details necessary for the rest of the text.

- For an  $(n, m)$ -presentation of an  $(n, m)$ -semigroup  $\langle B; \Delta \rangle$  (that is the factor  $(n, m)$ -semigroup  $\mathbf{F}(B)/\overline{\Delta}$  where  $\overline{\Delta}$  is the smallest congruence on  $\mathbf{F}(B)$  such that  $\Delta \subseteq \overline{\Delta}$  and  $\mathbf{F}(B)/\overline{\Delta}$  is an  $(n, m)$ -semigroup), it can be easily shown that  $\overline{\overline{\Delta}} = \overline{\Delta}$  ([2]).

- Two  $(n, m)$ -semigroup presentations  $\langle B'; \Delta' \rangle$  and  $\langle B''; \Delta'' \rangle$  are strictly equivalent if  $B' = B''$  and  $\overline{\Delta'} = \overline{\Delta''}$ . We use the notation  $\langle B'; \Delta' \rangle \equiv \langle B''; \Delta'' \rangle$  ([3]).

- Given a set of vector  $(n, m)$ -relations  $\Delta$ , we will need to emphasize (in notation) the connection with its corresponding induced binary relations  $\Lambda$ . Thus, we allow elements

from  $B$  to be represented as  $(i, \mathbf{x})$  for some  $\mathbf{x} \in B^m$  and  $i \in \mathbb{N}_m$ . Hence, given  $u \in F(B)$  we will also use the notation  $(i, u_1^{i-1} u u_{i+1}^m)$  where  $i \in \mathbb{N}_m$  and  $u_v \in F(B)$  ( $v \in \mathbb{N}_m \setminus \{i\}$ ). In other words, we have the following notation definition:

$$u \in F(B) \iff u = (i, \mathbf{x})$$

for some  $i \in \mathbb{N}_m$ ,  $\mathbf{x} = u_1^{m+sk}$ , and  $s \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . (Note that, each element from  $F(B) \setminus B$  remains to have a unique representation  $(i, u_1^{m+sk})$  where  $i \in \mathbb{N}_m$  and  $s \geq 1$ ). Hence, for vector  $(n, m)$ -relations  $\Delta$  and the corresponding induced binary relations  $\Lambda$ , we will also use the following notation

$$\Delta = \Lambda_{\#}$$

where

$$\Lambda_{\#} = \{((i, \mathbf{x}), (i, \mathbf{y})) \mid (\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \in \Lambda, i \in \mathbb{N}_m\}.$$

### PRESENTATIONS IN VARIETIES OF $(n, m)$ -SEMIGROUPS

The varieties of  $(n, m)$ -semigroups were defined in [2] and also explored in [3, 8, 9]. We recall basic definitions and properties necessary for the rest of the text.

If  $\mathbf{F}(\mathbb{N})$  is a free poly- $(n, m)$ -groupoid with a basis  $\mathbb{N}$  and  $\mathbf{Q} = (Q, h)$  is a poly- $(n, m)$ -groupoid, for each  $\tau \in F(\mathbb{N})$  there exists a smallest  $t \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\tau \in F(\mathbb{N}_t)$

and  $\tau$  defines a  $t$ -ary operation on  $Q$  as follows:

- i) If  $\tau = j \in \mathbb{N}_t$  and  $\mathbf{a} = a_1^t \in Q^t$  then  $\tau(\mathbf{a}) = a_j$
- ii) If  $\tau = (i, \tau_1^{m+sk})$  and  $\mathbf{a} = a_1^t \in Q^t$  then  $\tau(\mathbf{a}) = h_i(\tau_1(\mathbf{a}) \dots \tau_{m+sk}(\mathbf{a}))$ , assuming that  $\tau_\nu(\mathbf{a})$  are already defined.

Let  $\tau, \omega \in F(\mathbb{N})$ . Then  $\tau, \omega \in F(\mathbb{N}_t)$  for some  $t \in \mathbb{N}$ . A poly- $(n, m)$ -groupoid  $Q$  satisfies the  $(n, m)$ -identity  $(\tau, \omega)$  (i.e.  $Q \models (\tau, \omega)$ ), if  $\tau(\mathbf{a}) = \omega(\mathbf{a})$  for an arbitrary  $\mathbf{a} = a_1^t \in Q^t$ .

A class of  $(n, m)$ -semigroups  $\mathcal{V}$  is a variety if and only if there exists a set of  $(n, m)$ -identities  $\Theta$  such that  $G \models \Theta$  for every  $G \in \mathcal{V}$ . This means that  $G \models (\tau, \omega)$ , for every  $(\tau, \omega) \in \Theta$  and every  $G \in \mathcal{V}$ . We use the notation  $\mathcal{V} = Var\Theta$ .

In [8] we gave a description of the complete system of  $(n, m)$ -identities  $\widehat{\Theta}$  for a variety  $Var\Theta$ . We also showed that  $\psi_0(\mathbf{F}(\mathbb{N}))/\widehat{\Theta}$  is a free object in  $Var\Theta$  with basis  $\mathbb{N}$  where  $\psi_0$  is the reduction for  $\langle \mathbb{N}; \emptyset \rangle$  (for more details on  $\psi_0$ , see [10]). In [9] we explored a special class of varieties of  $(n, m)$ -semigroups, called vector varieties of  $(n, m)$ -semigroups. They are originally defined in [3], as follows:

Let  $p = m + sk, q = m + rk$ , where  $s, r \geq 0$  and let  $(i_1^p, j_1^q) \in \mathbb{N}^+ \times \mathbb{N}^+$ . An  $(n, m)$ -semigroup  $G = (G; g)$  satisfies the vector  $(n, m)$ -identity  $(i_1^p, j_1^q)$  (i.e.  $G \models (i_1^p, j_1^q)$ ), if  $g(a_{i_1} \dots a_{i_p}) = g(a_{j_1} \dots a_{j_q})$  for an arbitrary  $a_1^t \in G^t$ , where  $t = \max_{\mu, \nu} \{i_\mu, j_\nu\}$ .

Every vector  $(n, m)$ -identity  $(i_1^p, j_1^q)$  induces a set of  $(n, m)$ -identities  $(i_1^p, j_1^q)_\# \subseteq \psi_0(F(\mathbb{N})) \times \psi_0(F(\mathbb{N}))$  defined by:  $(i_1^p, j_1^q)_\# = \{(i, i_1^p), (i, j_1^q) \mid i \in \mathbb{N}_m\}$ , and moreover,  $G \models (i_1^p, j_1^q) \iff G \models (i_1^p, j_1^q)_\#$ . Consequently, if  $\Theta'$  is a set of vector  $(n, m)$ -identities then it induces a set of  $(n, m)$ -identities  $\Theta'_\#$ , and,  $G \models \Theta' \iff G \models \Theta'_\#$ .

**Definition 2.1** A variety of  $(n, m)$ -semigroups  $\mathcal{V}$  is called a vector variety of  $(n, m)$ -semigroups, if there exists a set of vector  $(n, m)$ -identities  $\Theta'_\#$  such that  $\mathcal{V} = Var\Theta'_\#$ .

In continuation we will define  $(n, m)$ -semigroup presentations in varieties of  $(n, m)$ -semigroups. The main idea arises from [3].

Let  $\Theta$  be a set of  $(n, m)$ -identities and let  $\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{B}) = (F(B); f)$  be a free poly- $(n, m)$ -groupoid with basis  $B \neq \emptyset$ . Every  $(n, m)$ -identity  $(\tau, \omega) \in F(\mathbb{N}_t) \times F(\mathbb{N}_t)$  defines a relation on  $F(B)$  given by

$$(\tau, \omega)(F(B)) = \{(\tau(u_1^t), \omega(u_1^t)) \mid u_1^t \in F(B)^t\}$$

Thus,  $\Theta$  defines a corresponding set  $\Theta(F(B)) \subseteq F(B) \times F(B)$  given by

$$\Theta(F(B)) = \bigcup_{(\tau, \omega) \in \Theta} (\tau, \omega)(F(B)) =$$

$$\{(\tau(u_1^t), \omega(u_1^t)) \mid (\tau, \omega) \in \Theta,$$

$$\tau, \omega \in F(\mathbb{N}_t), u_1^t \in F(B)^t, t \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

Clearly,  $\Theta(F(B))$  is a set of  $(n, m)$ -defining relations on  $B$ .

The following result is stated in [3], here we give its proof.

**Proposition 2.1**  $\langle B; \Theta(F(B)) \rangle$  is a free object in  $Var\Theta$  with basis  $B$ .

*Proof.* Recall that  $\langle B; \Theta(F(B)) \rangle = \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{B})/\overline{\Theta(F(B))}$  where  $\overline{\Theta(F(B))}$  is the smallest congruence on  $\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{B})$  such that  $\Theta(F(B)) \subseteq \overline{\Theta(F(B))}$  and  $\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{B})/\overline{\Theta(F(B))}$  is an  $(n, m)$ -semigroup. Let  $(\tau, \omega) \in \Theta$ . Then  $\tau, \omega \in F(\mathbb{N}_t)$  for some  $t \in \mathbb{N}$ . For an arbitrary sequence  $u_1^{\overline{\Theta(F(B))}}, \dots, u_t^{\overline{\Theta(F(B))}}$  from  $F(B)/\overline{\Theta(F(B))}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(u_1^{\overline{\Theta(F(B))}} \dots u_t^{\overline{\Theta(F(B))}}) &= \\ (\tau(u_1^t))^{\overline{\Theta(F(B))}} &= (\omega(u_1^t))^{\overline{\Theta(F(B))}} = \\ \omega(u_1^{\overline{\Theta(F(B))}} \dots u_t^{\overline{\Theta(F(B))}}) & \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{B})/\overline{\Theta(F(B))} \models (\tau, \omega)$ . Hence,  $\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{B})/\overline{\Theta(F(B))} \models \Theta$  and therefore  $\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{B})/\overline{\Theta(F(B))} \in Var\Theta$ . It is clear that  $\overline{\Theta(F(B))}$  is the smallest congruence on  $\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{B})$  containing  $\Theta(F(B))$  and such that  $\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{B})/\overline{\Theta(F(B))} \in Var\Theta$ , and thus we conclude that  $\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{B})/\overline{\Theta(F(B))}$  is a free object in  $Var\Theta$ . Namely, for arbitrariness  $Q \in Var\Theta$  and  $\xi : B \rightarrow Q$ , there is a unique homomorphic extension  $\bar{\xi} : \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{B}) \rightarrow Q$  and moreover,  $\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{B})/\ker \bar{\xi} \in Var\Theta$ . The fact that  $\overline{\Theta(F(B))}$  is the smallest congruence on  $\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{B})$  such that  $\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{B})/\overline{\Theta(F(B))} \in Var\Theta$ , implies that  $\overline{\Theta(F(B))} \subseteq \ker \bar{\xi}$ . Therefore, we define a map  $\eta : F(B)/\overline{\Theta(F(B))} \rightarrow Q$ , by:  $\eta(u^{\overline{\Theta(F(B))}}) = \bar{\xi}(u)$ . It is straightforward to check that  $\eta$  is a homomorphism, since  $\bar{\xi}$  is a homomorphism, and

$\eta(\text{nat}(\overline{\Theta(F(B))})|_B) = \bar{\xi}|_B = \xi$ . Also,  $\eta$  is unique, since  $\bar{\xi}$  is unique.  $\square$

From now on, the congruence  $\overline{\Theta(F(B))}$  will be denoted by  $\bar{\Theta}$  and consequently,  $\mathbf{F}(B)/\bar{\Theta(F(B))} = \mathbf{F}(B)/\bar{\Theta}$ .

For a given  $\Delta \subseteq F(B) \times F(B)$ , we have  $\Delta \cup \Theta(F(B)) \subseteq F(B) \times F(B)$ , that is a set of  $(n, m)$ -defining relations on  $B$ , and thus  $\langle B; \Delta \cup \Theta(F(B)) \rangle$  is an  $(n, m)$ -presentation of an  $(n, m)$ -semigroup.

**Definition 2.2** For given  $B, \Theta$ , and  $\Delta$ , we denote the  $(n, m)$ -semigroup presentation  $\langle B; \Delta \cup \Theta(F(B)) \rangle$  by  $\langle B; \Delta; \Theta \rangle$ , and we say that  $\langle B; \Delta; \Theta \rangle$  is a presentation of an  $(n, m)$ -semigroup in the variety  $Var\Theta$ .

In particular, we define vector  $(n, m)$ -semigroup presentations in (vector) varieties of  $(n, m)$ -semigroups.

**Definition 2.3**  $\langle B; \Delta; \Theta \rangle$  is a vector presentation of an  $(n, m)$ -semigroup in  $Var\Theta$ , if  $\langle B; \Delta \rangle$  and  $\langle \mathbb{N}; \Theta \rangle$  are vector  $(n, m)$ -presentations.

Thus, and by the notation given in the introduction part, given a vector  $(n, m)$ -semigroup presentation  $\langle B; \Delta; \Theta \rangle$  in  $Var\Theta$  we can also denote it as  $\langle B; \Lambda; \Theta' \rangle$ , where:

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda &\subseteq B^+ \times B^+ \text{ and } \Delta = \Lambda_{\#}; \\ \Theta' &\subseteq \mathbb{N}^+ \times \mathbb{N}^+ \text{ and } \Theta = \Theta'_{\#}. \end{aligned}$$

Given  $\langle B; \Lambda; \Theta' \rangle$ , the set of vector  $(n, m)$ -identities  $\Theta' \subseteq \mathbb{N}^+ \times \mathbb{N}^+$  induces a set  $\Theta'(B) \subseteq B^+ \times B^+$  defined by:

$$\begin{aligned} (a_1^p, c_1^q) \in \Theta'(B) \text{ if there exist } (i_1^p, j_1^q) \in \Theta' \\ \text{and a sequence } b_1, b_2, \dots \in B \text{ such that} \\ a_{\mu} = b_{i_{\mu}}, \mu \in \mathbb{N}_p \text{ and } c_{\nu} = b_{j_{\nu}}, \nu \in \mathbb{N}_q. \end{aligned}$$

In other words,

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta'(B) &= \{(b_{i_1} \dots b_{i_p}, b_{j_1} \dots b_{j_q}) \mid \\ &(i_1^p, j_1^q) \in \Theta', b^t \in B^t, t = \max_{\mu, \nu} \{i_{\mu}, j_{\nu}\}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Now,  $\Lambda \cup \Theta'(B) \subseteq B^+ \times B^+$  is a set of vector  $(n, m)$ -relations on  $B$ , and thus  $\langle B; \Lambda \cup \Theta'(B) \rangle$  is a vector  $(n, m)$ -presentation of an  $(n, m)$ -semigroup. But,  $\langle B; \Lambda \cup \Theta'(B) \rangle$  is not in  $Var\Theta'_{\#}$  in general case.

**Example 2.1.** Let  $n = 3, m = 2, B = \{a, b\}, \Lambda = \emptyset$ , and let  $\Theta'$  be a set of  $(3, 2)$ -identities defined by:

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta' &= \{(l^3, l^2)\} \text{ for some } l \in \mathbb{N}, \text{ i.e.} \\ \Theta'_{\#} &= \{((1, ll), (1, l)), ((2, ll), (2, l))\} \\ &= \{((1, ll), l), ((2, ll), l)\}. \end{aligned}$$

We have that  $\langle a, b; \Theta' \rangle = \langle a, b; \Theta'_{\#} \rangle$  is a  $(3, 2)$ -semigroup presentation in  $Var\Theta'_{\#}$ . Moreover, the  $(3, 2)$ -semigroup  $\langle a, b; \Theta'_{\#} \rangle = \mathbf{F}(a, b)/\overline{\Theta'_{\#}(F(a, b))}$  is a free object in  $Var\Theta'_{\#}$  with basis  $\{a, b\}$ . On the other hand, the  $(3, 2)$ -semigroup presentation  $\langle B; \Theta'(B) \rangle = \langle a, b; \Theta'(a, b) \rangle$  represents the  $(3, 2)$ -semigroup  $\mathbf{F}(a, b)/\overline{\Theta'(a, b)_{\#}}$ . It is easy to see that  $(\Theta'(a, b))_{\#} \subseteq \Theta'_{\#}(F(a, b))$  and thus  $\overline{(\Theta'(a, b))_{\#}} \subseteq \overline{\Theta'_{\#}(F(a, b))}$ . Consequently, if two elements are equal in  $\langle a, b; \Theta'(a, b) \rangle$ , they are equal in  $\langle a, b; \Theta' \rangle$  as well. The opposite is not true. For example,  $(2, (1, aba)(1, aba)(1, aba)) = (1, aba)$  in  $\langle a, b; \Theta' \rangle$  but  $(2, (1, aba)(1, aba)(1, aba)) \neq (1, aba)$  in  $\langle a, b; \Theta'(a, b) \rangle$ . We conclude that  $\langle a, b; \Theta'(a, b) \rangle \notin Var\Theta'_{\#}$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 2.2**

$\langle B; \Lambda; \Theta' \rangle \equiv \langle B; \Lambda \cup \Theta'(B) \rangle$  if and only if  $\langle B; \Lambda \cup \Theta'(B) \rangle \in Var\Theta'_{\#}$ .

*Proof.*  $(\Rightarrow)$ . Straightforward.

$(\Leftarrow)$ . It is easy to notice that

$$(\Lambda_{\#} \cup \Theta'(B))_{\#} \subseteq (\Lambda_{\#} \cup \Theta'_{\#}(F(B)))_{\#},$$

and thus  $\overline{\Lambda_{\#} \cup \Theta'(B)}_{\#} \subseteq \overline{\Lambda_{\#} \cup \Theta'_{\#}(F(B))}_{\#}$ .

Since  $\mathbf{F}(B)/\overline{\Lambda_{\#} \cup \Theta'(B)}_{\#} \in Var\Theta'_{\#}$ , it follows that for  $i \in \mathbb{N}_m$ , for an  $(n, m)$ -identity  $(i_1^p, j_1^q) \in \Theta'$ , and for a sequence  $u_1^t$  from  $F(B)$ , where  $t = \max_{\mu, \nu} \{i_{\mu}, j_{\nu}\}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} f_i(u_{i_1}^{\overline{\Lambda_{\#} \cup \Theta'(B)}_{\#}} \dots u_{i_p}^{\overline{\Lambda_{\#} \cup \Theta'(B)}_{\#}}) &= \\ f_i(u_{j_1}^{\overline{\Lambda_{\#} \cup \Theta'(B)}_{\#}} \dots u_{j_q}^{\overline{\Lambda_{\#} \cup \Theta'(B)}_{\#}}) &, \\ \text{i.e. } (f_i(u_{i_1} \dots u_{i_p}))^{\overline{\Lambda_{\#} \cup \Theta'(B)}_{\#}} &= \\ (f_i(u_{j_1} \dots u_{j_q}))^{\overline{\Lambda_{\#} \cup \Theta'(B)}_{\#}}. & \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\left( (i, u_{i_1}^{i_p}), (i, u_{j_1}^{j_q}) \right) \in \overline{\Lambda_{\#} \cup \Theta'(B)}_{\#}$$

and thus

$$\Theta'_{\#}(F(B)) \subseteq \overline{\Lambda_{\#} \cup \Theta'(B)}_{\#}.$$

Consequently,

$$\Lambda_{\#} \cup \Theta'_{\#}(F(B)) \subseteq \overline{\Lambda_{\#} \cup \Theta'(B)}_{\#},$$

and moreover,

$$\overline{\Lambda_{\#} \cup \Theta'_{\#}(F(B))}_{\#} \subseteq \overline{\Lambda_{\#} \cup \Theta'(B)}_{\#}.$$

Hence,  $\langle B; \Lambda; \Theta' \rangle \equiv \langle B; \Lambda \cup \Theta'(B) \rangle$ .  $\square$

Consider now, vector  $(n, m)$ -presentations of type  $\langle B; \Lambda \cup \Theta'(B) \rangle$ .

Since  $\langle B; \Lambda \cup \Theta'(B) \rangle$  is a vector  $(n, m)$ -presentation of an  $(n, m)$ -semigroup, it induces a corresponding binary semigroup presentation, for which we can apply Theorem 4.1, Theorem 4.2, Theorem 4.3, Theorem 4.4 from [10]. As a consequence, and providing that Proposition 2.2 is satisfied, we would get good combinatorial descriptions for  $\langle B; \Lambda; \Theta' \rangle$ , that are objects in  $Var\Theta'_{\#}$ . Moreover, we would have word problem solvability for those vector  $(n, m)$ -semigroup presentations in such varieties.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Gj. Čupona, Vector valued semigroups, *Semigroup Forum*, **26** (1983), pp. 65–74.
- [2] Gj. Čupona, N. Celakoski, S. Markovski, D. Dimovski, Vector valued groupoids, semigroups and groups, *Vector valued semigroups and groups*, Maced. Acad. of Sci. and Arts, Skopje, (1988), pp. 1–79.
- [3] Gj. Čupona, S. Markovski, D. Dimovski, B. Janeva, Introduction to the combinatorial theory of vector valued semigroups, *Vector valued semigroups and groups*, Maced. Acad. of Sci. and Arts, Skopje, (1988), pp. 141–184.
- [4] D. Dimovski, Free vector valued semigroups, *Proc. Conf. Algebra and Logic*, Cetinje, (1986), pp. 55–62.
- [5] D. Dimovski, Gj. Čupona, Injective vector valued semigroups, *Proc. VIII Int. Conf. Algebra and Logic, Novi Sad J. Math.*, **29** (2) (1999), pp. 149–161.
- [6] D. Dimovski, B. Janeva, S. Ilić, Free  $(n, m)$ -groups, *Communications in Algebra*, **19** (3) (1991), pp. 965–979.
- [7] D. Dimovski, I. Stojmenovska, Reductions for vector  $(n, m)$ -presentations of  $(n, m)$ -semigroups, *Semigroup Forum*, **86** (3) (2013), pp. 663–679.
- [8] I. Stojmenovska, D. Dimovski, On varieties of  $(n, m)$ -semigroups, *International Journal of Algebra*, **6** (15) (2012), pp. 705–712.
- [9] I. Stojmenovska, D. Dimovski, On vector varieties of  $(n, m)$ -semigroups, *International Journal of Algebra*, **12** (7) (2018), pp. 273–283.
- [10] I. Stojmenovska, D. Dimovski, On reductions for presentations of vector valued semigroups: overview and open problems. To appear in this volume.

### ЗА ЕДНА КЛАСА ПРЕТСТАВУВАЊА ВО МНОГУОБРАЗИЈА ВЕКТОРСКО ВРЕДНОСНИ ПОЛУГРУПИ

Ирена Стојменовска

Универзитет Американ Колеџ, Скопје, Република Македонија

*Во спомен на професор Ѓорѓи Чупона, со длабока почит и огромна благодарност*

Дефинираме специјална класа векторски  $(n, m)$ -претставувања во векторски многуобразија  $(n, m)$ -полугрупи, каде аплицираме претходно добиени резултати за постоење на ефективни редукции, под одредени услови. Како последица, се добиваат добри комбинаторни описи на разгледуваните објекти.

**Клучни зборови:**  $(n, m)$ -полугрупа,  $(n, m)$ -претставување,  $(n, m)$ -многуобразије, редукција