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Abstract

Objectives: To make a comparative analysis of the mechanical properties between FRC and zirconium posts

Methods: The patients with FRC and zirconium posts were divided in two groups with three subgroups, each of them composed of 10 samples. Subgroup I with 1.2 mm; Subgroup II with 1.35 mm and Subgroup III with 1.5 mm post diameter. The fracture force, bending and tensile strength of each group were measured with Shimadzu Universal Testing Machine.

Results: The fracture force for the first group measured in the first, second and third subgroup was 34.80900N; 67.15390N; 46.53100N and for the second group, first, second and third subgroup was 34.80900N; 67.15390N; 46.53100N correspondingly. The bending strength for the first group measured in the first, second and third subgroup was 401.4420N; 444.6425N; 333.6828N and for the second group, first, second and third subgroup was 307.9352N; 289.1030N; 304.1649N correspondingly. The tensile strength for the first group measured in the first, second and third subgroup was 5.442267N; 4.350545N; 2.943465N and for the second group, first, second and third subgroup was 4.224141N; 3.751466N; 3.168756N correspondingly.

Conclusions: The longest diameter of the posts significantly increases the resistance to fracture in relation to the two smaller diameters. The larger diameter, the higher values of the bending strength, as well as the lowest values of the tensile strength of the material contribute to improved mechanical properties of the fiber and zirconium posts.
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1. Introduction

Restoration of the endodontically treated teeth is a challenge in the research of the recent decades, primarily due to the introduction of innovative materials and modern methods. Traditionally accepted method for reconstruction of the endodontically treated teeth is the use of post restoration or cast post and core restoration, which can be covered with a crown.

The cast post and core restoration must have durable and secure retention to the crown or bridge to allow proper transfer of the burden to the entire root and the surrounding supportive tissues [1]. The post and core restoration along with the rest of the tooth substance is a part of one unit which actually depicts a prepared tooth, abutment of the future prosthetic construction. [2]
The available post and core restoration systems are usually fabricated from individually constructed metal, non-metal post and core restorations or different types of prefabricated ceramic and fiberglas posts [3].

The necessity of improved aesthetics and biocompatibility of the restorations contributed for the discovery of translucent metal-free post and core systems and their advancement [4].

Prefabricated fiberglass and zirconium post and core systems have been examined to meet the aesthetic needs of the endodontically treated incisors. The transparency of the full ceramic crowns can be successfully satisfied with the use of newly molded fiberglass and ceramic posts [2].

FRC (fiber reinforced composite) posts reinforced with composite fibers represent an alternative to many conventional materials. Compared with the processed titanium posts they lead to reduced occurrence of fracture to the tooth root. Zirconium posts used today have a high modulus of elasticity, and thus, the force from the post is directly directed to the tooth structure without stress absorption.

1.1. Retention factors
The necessity of retention varies depending on the type of prosthetic construction and intermaxillary relationship. There are many factors that affect the length and retention of the posts.

1.2. Post length
The length of the post affects the distribution of stress in the root and thus affects the resistance to fracture. When the length of the post is increased the retention capacity increases. A common recommendation is that the length of the post should be equal or longer than the length of the crown [5–8].

1.3. Diameter of the post and the remaining dentin
The diameter of the post and the remaining dentin also play an important role in the prevention of root fracture [9–13].

According to some studies, the increasing in the diameter of the post does not significantly affect the retentive capacities. However, this can increase the force of the post and thus increase the risk of fracture to the root [3, 14].

1.4. Post design
The design of the post affects the retention and success of the restoration. Regarding the narrowing of the post, the equidistantly positioned posts have higher retention than the compressed posts and they distribute the stress more evenly throughout their length during functioning period [15]. The higher the narrowing is, the lower is the retention [16].

The design of the post surface can be classified as: spiked, threaded and smooth surface design. The spiked surface significantly increases the post retention compared to the smooth surface [3]. The surface design of the post can be classified as actively threaded and passively cemented post [17].

The post could either be factory ready (direct technique) or produced with the use of casting (indirect technique) in the dental laboratory. The casted metal post has been regularly applied for restoration of the endodontically treated teeth for many decades [18, 19].

The casted metal posts are still used today, but the procedure is expensive and requires a lot of time (usually at least two visits to the dentist) which made the fabricated posts popular among the dentists [20].

There are over 100 different factory ready posts. According to a survey of the dentists in the United States, 40% of the general dentists use factory ready posts frequently and the most popular was the spiked equidistantly positioned post [21]. The most factory ready posts are metallic, but there are several non-metallic systems. The most typical factory ready metal post is manufactured from pure steel or titanium alloys.

With the recent advantages in the ceramic technology, all ceramic crowns have become very popular. The demand for prostodontic solutions, especially all ceramic restorations, developed new materials for fabrication of the posts.

Zirconium posts offer potential benefits in terms of aesthetics and biocompatibility [22] but they have few disadvantages. The zirconium posts are hard, but on the other hand very fragile, with no elasticity [23]. Therefore it is important to make complete preparation for the post when using zirconium posts. Zirconium posts are not yet available in small diameters,
Comparative analysis of the mechanical properties between... which complicate the minimally invasive tooth preparation for this kind of post. If endodontic retreatment is required, a retrieval of the zirconium posts is very difficult [22].

In the early 1990s, the processed polymerized root canal FRC (fiber-reinforced composite) posts were finally introduced on the market. One of the processed FRC (fiber-reinforced composite) posts was the C-post (Composipost) which was post made from carbon-fiber reinforced epoxy and was developed in France [24, 25]. Very soon glass and quartz fibers were also used for the post inside the root canal. The use of the FRC (fiber-reinforced composite) in the posts of the root canal was with equal distribution, because their elastic modulus was similar to that of the dentin. When bonded in position with the resin cement mixture, it is considered that the occlusal forces are equally distributed throughout the root which results in fewer fractures of the root [25–28] and more favorable damages compared to the metal posts [29].

2. Objectives
Respecting the numerous literary and scientific findings that emphasize the role and mechanical properties of the different types of posts for restoration of the endodontic complex as a substructure to the prosthodontic constructions, we set the goals for this experimental investigation:
1. To compare the force of the fracture between titanium, FRC and zirconium posts
2. To examine the values of the bending force between the FRC and the zirconium posts.

3. Material and methods
In order to fulfil the objectives of the experimental study different types of posts were used: FRC (fiber-reinforced composite) and zirconium.

The examinations were performed at the Faculty of Dentistry in Skopje and the Faculty of Mechanical Engineering in Skopje.

For the realization of the established goal we used:
FRC and zirconium posts divided into two groups with three subgroups, each of them composed of 10 samples. Subgroup I with post diameter of 1.2 mm; Subgroup II with post diameter of 1.35 mm and Subgroup III with post diameter of 1.5 mm.
I – Group: FRC posts – "Nordin" – Switzerland (Fig. 1)
II – Group: zirconium posts – "Nordin" – Switzerland (Fig. 2).

Figure 1 – FRC post
In each of the two groups depending on the diameter of the examined posts, three subgroups of posts selected by diameter were formed. A total of 60 posts were investigated.

All examined posts were factory ready posts from which the FRC posts and the zirconium posts were with smooth surface.

The examined posts were placed in a water bath for two weeks and afterwards they were prepared for experimental examination. For the examination we used specially prepared surface, which was used for the placement of the posts. The examinations were performed at the Faculty of Mechanical Engineering using the universal testing machine "Shimadzu Universal Testing Machine" (Fig. 3). The posts were placed at same distance and on each of them the force was applied at the same place. The speed of movement of the post was 0.5 mm / min. The force of fracture was recorded in a special software system connected to the machine "Shimadzu". For the purpose of the investigation we used the so-called "three-point bending test" – bending force examination.

The three-point method for load application on the factory ready FRC and zirconium posts involves bending test on three places. According to the ISO 10477 standard the three point method was used for force application until fracture to determine the fracture and bending force, as well as the force module during bending on the examined posts. All posts were tested at room temperature of 22°C Celsius.

The bending strength (δf) and the modulus of the bending strength (EF) were calcula-
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...according to the formula (Torbjörner et al 1996)

Formula 1: \( \delta f = 8 \frac{F_{\text{max}}}{\pi d^3} \)

Formula 2: \( E_f = S \frac{l^3}{3 \pi d^3} \)

where the maximal force \( F_{\text{max}} \) is the applied load (N) in the highest point of the curvature of load deviation, \( l \) is the length, \( d \) is the diameter of the samples. \( S = F / D \), stiffness (N / m) and \( D \) which represents the deviation corresponding to the load \( F \) in the point of the straight line.

Three point bending test on FRC post

Three point bending test on zirconium post

4. Results
The main values for the fracture force measured on the FRC posts with different diameters on the patients from the first group are presented in Table 1a. The fracture force measured on the FRC posts in subgroup 1 is 45.37900 N, in subgroup 2 is 71.56500 N and in subgroup 3 is 73.67090 N.
The main values for the fracture force measured on zirconium posts with different diameters on the patients from the second group are presented in Table 1b. The fracture force measured on the FRC posts in subgroup 1 is 34.80900 N in subgroup 2 is 46.53100 N and in subgroup 3 is 67.15390 N.

Table 1a

Fracture force measured on FRC posts for Group 1 patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subgroups</th>
<th>Subgroup I D = 1.2 mm</th>
<th>Subgroup II D = 1.35 mm</th>
<th>Subgroup III D = 1.5 mm</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Means</td>
<td>45.37900 N</td>
<td>71.56500 N</td>
<td>73.67090 N</td>
<td>63.53830 N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Std.Dev.</td>
<td>0.00510</td>
<td>0.00560</td>
<td>0.00458</td>
<td>13.08932</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Std.Err.</td>
<td>0.001612</td>
<td>0.001770</td>
<td>0.001449</td>
<td>2.389772</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum</td>
<td>45.36900</td>
<td>71.55400</td>
<td>73.66300</td>
<td>45.36900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum</td>
<td>45.38700</td>
<td>71.57500</td>
<td>73.67900</td>
<td>73.67900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>45.37900</td>
<td>71.56500</td>
<td>73.67100</td>
<td>71.56500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confidence-95%</td>
<td>45.37535</td>
<td>71.56100</td>
<td>73.66762</td>
<td>58.65067</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confidence+95%</td>
<td>45.38265</td>
<td>71.56900</td>
<td>73.67418</td>
<td>68.42593</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1b

Fracture force measured on zirconium posts for Group 2 patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subgroups</th>
<th>Subgroup I D = 1.2 mm</th>
<th>Subgroup II D = 1.35 mm</th>
<th>Subgroup III D = 1.5 mm</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Means</td>
<td>34.80900 N</td>
<td>46.53100 N</td>
<td>67.15390 N</td>
<td>49.49797 N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Std.Dev.</td>
<td>0.00624</td>
<td>0.00435</td>
<td>0.00441</td>
<td>13.59894</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Std.Err.</td>
<td>0.001972</td>
<td>0.001374</td>
<td>0.001394</td>
<td>2.482816</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum</td>
<td>34.79800</td>
<td>46.52200</td>
<td>67.14700</td>
<td>34.79800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum</td>
<td>34.82100</td>
<td>46.53900</td>
<td>67.16100</td>
<td>67.16100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>34.80900</td>
<td>46.53100</td>
<td>67.15400</td>
<td>46.53100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confidence - 95%</td>
<td>34.80454</td>
<td>46.52789</td>
<td>67.15075</td>
<td>44.42004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confidence + 95%</td>
<td>34.81346</td>
<td>46.53411</td>
<td>67.15705</td>
<td>54.57590</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The main values for the bending strength measured on FRC posts with different diameters on the patients from the first group are presented in Table 2a. The bending strength measured on the FRC posts in subgroup 1 is 401.4420 N, in subgroup 2 is 444.6425 N and in subgroup 3 is 333.6828 N.

The main values for the bending strength measured on zirconium posts with different diameters on the patients from the second group...
are presented in Table 2b. The bending strength measured on zirconium posts in subgroup 1 is 307.9352 N, in subgroup 2 N is 289.1030 N and in subgroup 3 is 304.1649 N.

Table 2a

**Bending strength measured on FRC posts for Group 1 patients**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subgroups</th>
<th>Subgroup I (D = 1.2 mm)</th>
<th>Subgroup II (D = 1.35 mm)</th>
<th>Subgroup III (D = 1.5 mm)</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Means</td>
<td>401.4420 N</td>
<td>444.6425 N</td>
<td>333.6828 N</td>
<td>393.2557 N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Std.Dev.</td>
<td>0.04511</td>
<td>0.03478</td>
<td>0.02075</td>
<td>46.44817</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Std.Err.</td>
<td>0.014264</td>
<td>0.010998</td>
<td>0.006562</td>
<td>8.480236</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum</td>
<td>401.3535</td>
<td>444.5741</td>
<td>333.6470</td>
<td>333.6470</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum</td>
<td>401.5127</td>
<td>444.7046</td>
<td>333.7195</td>
<td>444.7046</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>401.4420</td>
<td>444.6425</td>
<td>333.6832</td>
<td>401.4420</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confidence - 95%</td>
<td>401.4097</td>
<td>444.6176</td>
<td>333.6679</td>
<td>375.9117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confidence + 95%</td>
<td>401.4742</td>
<td>444.6674</td>
<td>333.6976</td>
<td>410.5998</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2b

**Bending strength measured on zirconium posts for Group 2 patients**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subgroups</th>
<th>Subgroup I (D = 1.2 mm)</th>
<th>Subgroup II (D = 1.35 mm)</th>
<th>Subgroup III (D = 1.5 mm)</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Means</td>
<td>307.9352 N</td>
<td>289.1030 N</td>
<td>304.1649 N</td>
<td>300.4011 N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Std.Dev.</td>
<td>0.055167</td>
<td>0.027003</td>
<td>0.019967</td>
<td>8.274994</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Std.Err.</td>
<td>0.017445</td>
<td>0.008539</td>
<td>0.006314</td>
<td>1.510800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum</td>
<td>307.8379</td>
<td>289.0471</td>
<td>304.1336</td>
<td>289.0471</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum</td>
<td>308.0414</td>
<td>289.1528</td>
<td>304.1970</td>
<td>308.0414</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>307.9352</td>
<td>289.1030</td>
<td>304.1653</td>
<td>304.1653</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confidence - 95%</td>
<td>307.8958</td>
<td>289.0837</td>
<td>304.1506</td>
<td>297.3111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confidence + 95%</td>
<td>307.9747</td>
<td>289.1224</td>
<td>304.1792</td>
<td>303.4910</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The main values for the tensile strength measured on the FRC posts with different diameters on the patients from the first group are presented in Table 3a. The tensile strength measured on the FRC posts in subgroup 1 is 5.442267 N, in subgroup 2 is 4.350545 N and in subgroup 3 is 2.943465 N.

The main values for the tensile strength measured on zirconium posts with different diameters on the patients from the second group are presented in Table 3b. The tensile strength measured on the FRC posts in subgroup 1 is 4.224141 N, in subgroup 2 is 3.751466 N, and in subgroup 3 is 3.168756 N.
### Table 3a

**Tensile strength measured on FRC posts for Group 1 patients**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subgroups</th>
<th>Subgroup I D = 1.2 mm</th>
<th>Subgroup II D = 1.35 mm</th>
<th>Subgroup III D = 1.5 mm</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Means</td>
<td>5.442267 N</td>
<td>4.350545 N</td>
<td>2.943465 N</td>
<td>4.245426 N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Std.Dev.</td>
<td>0.010525</td>
<td>0.005019</td>
<td>0.002387</td>
<td>1.040343</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Std.Err.</td>
<td>0.003328</td>
<td>0.001587</td>
<td>0.000755</td>
<td>0.189940</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum</td>
<td>5.424675</td>
<td>4.341971</td>
<td>2.939120</td>
<td>2.939120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum</td>
<td>5.462376</td>
<td>4.360622</td>
<td>2.947828</td>
<td>5.462376</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>5.442248</td>
<td>4.350539</td>
<td>2.943467</td>
<td>4.350539</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confidence - 95%</td>
<td>5.449797 N</td>
<td>4.354135 N</td>
<td>2.945173</td>
<td>4.633896</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confidence + 95%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3b

**Tensile strength measured on zirconium posts for Group 2 patients**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subgroups</th>
<th>Subgroup I D = 1.2 mm</th>
<th>Subgroup II D = 1.35 mm</th>
<th>Subgroup III D = 1.5 mm</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Means</td>
<td>4.224141 N</td>
<td>3.751466 N</td>
<td>3.168756 N</td>
<td>3.714788 N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Std.Dev.</td>
<td>0.003962</td>
<td>0.002902</td>
<td>0.001489</td>
<td>0.439027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Std.Err.</td>
<td>0.001253</td>
<td>0.000918</td>
<td>0.000471</td>
<td>0.080155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum</td>
<td>4.216256</td>
<td>3.746289</td>
<td>3.166986</td>
<td>3.166986</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum</td>
<td>4.230125</td>
<td>3.756657</td>
<td>3.171706</td>
<td>4.230125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>4.224141</td>
<td>3.751466</td>
<td>3.168753</td>
<td>3.751466</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confidence - 95%</td>
<td>0.003962</td>
<td>3.749390</td>
<td>3.167691</td>
<td>3.550852</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confidence + 95%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 5. Discussion

#### 5.1. Fracture force

Group I is composed of FRC posts which according to the size of the diameter (1.2 mm, 1.35 mm and 1.5 mm respectively) were divided into three subgroups. For the three subgroups of FRC posts a descriptive analysis of the average fracture strength was made. According to the descriptive analysis of the average fracture force of the three subgroups of titanium posts with different diameters, the FRC posts with \( d = 1.5 \) mm, followed by FRC posts with \( d = 1.35 \) mm and FRC posts with \( d = 1.25 \) mm have the highest average maximum fracture force. The analysis of variance – ANOVA, for \( p < 0.05 \) indicates statistically significant difference in the fracture force of the three subgroups of FRC with different diameters. The value of the Spearman's coefficient of correlation between the diameter of the FRC posts and fracture force indicates strong positive connection i.e. with the increase / decrease of the diameter of the post, the fracture force reduces / increases. The second examined group or Group II is composed from zirconium posts which according to the size of the diameter (1.2 mm, 1.35 mm and 1.5 mm correspondingly) were divided into three subgroups. The average fracture force was analyzed for the three subgroups of zirconium posts. According to the descriptive analysis of
the average fracture force of the three subgroups with different post diameter, the highest average maximum fracture force had the zirconium posts with d = 1.5 mm, followed by zirconium posts with d = 1.35 mm and zirconium posts with d = 1.25 mm. The comparison of the average fracture force between the three subgroups of zirconium posts with different diameter indicates that the average fracture force was highest in the subgroup III which also had the longest post diameter and was lowest in the subgroup I which had the shortest post diameter.

The analysis of variance – ANOVA, for p < 0.05 indicates statistically significant difference in the fracture force among the three subgroups of zirconium posts with different diameters. The Spearman's coefficient of correlation between the diameter of the zirconium posts and the fracture force indicates a strong positive correlation i.e. with the increase / decrease of the post diameter, the fracture force is reduced / increased.

This part of the analysis refers to the difference in the fracture force between posts fabricated from different material, but with the same post diameter (d = 1.2 mm). The descriptive analysis indicates that between the FRC and zirconium posts with the same diameter (1.2 mm), the FRC posts have the highest average fracture force compared to the zirconium posts. Statistically significant difference between the fracture force in the subgroups with FRC and zirconium posts has been identified with the application of the t-test.

The subgroups with the same diameter d = 1.35 fabricated from different types of material (FRC and zirconium) were tested in terms of the difference in fracture force. The performed descriptive analysis indicates that between the subgroups of posts with the same diameter (1.35 mm) the largest average fracture force have the FRC posts compared to the zirconium posts. A significant difference between the fracture force in the subgroups with FCR and zirconium posts is identified by the application of the t-test.

5.2. Bending strength

The bending strength was measured for the first examined group or Group I composed of FRC posts that according to the size of the diameter (1.2 mm, 1.35 mm and 1.5 mm) were divided into three subgroups. A descriptive analysis of the bending strength was performed for the three subgroups of FCR posts. According to the descriptive analysis of the bending strength for the three subgroups of FRC posts with different diameter, the maximal average bending strength had the FRC posts with d = 1.35 mm, followed by FRC posts with d = 1.2 mm and FRC posts with d = 1.5 mm. The analysis of variance – ANOVA for p < 0.05 indicates statistically significant difference in the bending strength between the three subgroups of FRC posts with different diameters. The value of the Spearman's coefficient of correlation between the diameter of the FRC posts and the bending strength indicates a significant negative relationship i.e. reducing the diameter of the post increases the bending strength and the increased diameter of the post reduces the bending strength.

The bending strength was measured on the second examined group or Group II, zirconium posts that according to the size of the post diameter (1.2 mm, 1.35 mm and 1.5 mm) were divided into three subgroups. According to the descriptive analysis of the average bending strength of the three subgroups of zirconium posts with different diameter, the maximal average bending strength have the zirconium posts with d = 1.2 mm, compared with zirconium posts with d = 1.5 mm and the zirconium posts with d = 1.35 mm.

The analysis of variance – ANOVA, for p < 0.05 indicates statistically significant difference in the strength of bending between the three subgroups of zirconium posts with different diameters. The value of the Spearman's coefficient of correlation between the diameter of the zirconium posts and the bending strength, in-
dicates a significant negative correlation i.e. with the increase / decrease of the diameter of the posts, the bending strength increases / decreases.

As part of the research, a comparison to the bending strength between the subgroups of posts that have the same diameter and are fabricated from different materials (FRC and zirconium) has been made.

The analysis indicates that between the FRC and the zirconium posts with the same diameter (1.2 mm) the highest average bending strength have the FRC posts compared with the zirconium posts. A significant difference between the bending strength in the subgroups with FRC and zirconium posts was identified statistically with the application of the t-test.

A comparison has been made between the bending strength of the posts with d = 1.35 mm fabricated from two different types of material (FRC and zirconia) that were tested in relation to the difference of bending strength. The performed analysis suggests that between the subgroups of posts with the same diameter (1.35 mm) the highest average bending strength have the FRC followed by the zirconium posts.

Statistically significant difference of the bending strength between the subgroups with FRC and zirconium posts was identified with the application of the t-test for two independent samples.

The subgroups of zirconia and FRC posts with the same diameter d = 1.5 mm, were tested in relation to the difference in the bending strength. The performed descriptive analysis indicates that between the subgroups of posts with the same diameter (1.5 mm) the highest average bending strength have the FRC posts compared with the zirconium posts.

Significant difference between the bending strength in the subgroups with FRC and zirconium posts is identified with the applying of the t-test for two independent samples.

5.3. Tensile strength

In this section of the investigation, the tensile strength was examined in Group I composed of FRC posts which according to the size of the diameter (1.2 mm, 1.35 mm and 1.5 mm) were divided into three subgroups. In accordance with the descriptive analysis of the tensile strength in the three subgroups with different diameter FRC posts, the highest average tensile strength had the FRC with d = 1.2 mm, in relation to the FRC with d = 1.35 mm and FRC with d = 1.5 mm. The analysis of variance – ANOVA for p < 0.05 indicates statistically significant difference between the tensile strength in the three subgroups of FRC posts with different diameters. The value of the Spearman's coefficient of correlation between the diameter and the tensile strength of the FRC posts indicates a very significant negative relationship i.e. the increase / decrease of the post diameter increases / decreases the tensile strength.

The average tensile strength was analyzed for the three subgroups of zirconium posts with diameter 1.2 mm, 1.35 mm and 1.5 mm. According to the descriptive analysis of the average tensile strength for the three subgroups of zirconium posts with different diameter, the highest average strength of elasticity had the zirconium posts with d = 1.2 mm, followed by the zirconium posts with d = 1.35 mm and the zirconium posts with d = 1.5 mm.

The analysis of variance – ANOVA, for p < 0.05 indicates a statistically significant difference in the tensile strength between the three subgroups of zirconium posts with different diameters. The value of the Spearman's coefficient of correlation between the diameter of the zirconium posts and the tensile strength indicates a very significant negative correlation i.e. with the increase / decrease of the post diameter, the tensile strength increases / decreases.

In this section a comparison has been made to the strength of elasticity between the subgroups of posts that have same diameter and are fabricated from different materials (FRC and zirconium).

The descriptive analysis indicates that between the subgroups of posts with the same diameter (1.2 mm), the highest average tensile strength have the FRC posts compared to the zirconium posts.

A comparison of tensile strength of the posts from different material (FRC and zirconia) with d = 1.35 mm has been made. The performed descriptive analysis indicates that between the subgroups of posts with the same diameter (1.35 mm) the highest average tensile strength had the FRC posts, compared with the zirconium posts. Statistically significant differ-
ference between the tensile strength in the subgroups with FRC and zirconium posts was identified by application of the t-test for two independent samples.

The subgroups of zirconia and FRC posts with the same diameter \( d = 1.5 \) were tested in relation to the difference in the tensile strength. The analysis indicates that between the subgroups of posts with the same diameter (1.5 mm), the highest average tensile strength had the zirconium posts compared with the FRC posts. A statistically significant difference between the tensile strength in the subgroups with FRC and zirconium posts was identified with the application of the t-test for two independent samples.

6. Conclusion
Aesthetics, as one of the most important global trends in modern dentistry, encourages the use of the post and core systems that with their good qualities increasingly satisfy the needs of the patients.

1. The different material of the posts with diameter 1.2, 1.35 and 1.5 mm provides significant differences in the fracture resistance of the post.
2. The diameter of the different types of posts provides different mechanical properties that differently affect the resistance to the fracture force.
3. The longest diameter of the posts significantly increases the resistance to fracture in relation to the two smaller diameters used during the research.
4. The larger diameter, the higher values of the bending strength, as well as the lowest values of the tensile strength of the material contribute to improved mechanical properties of the fiber and zirconium posts.
5. The highest average tensile strength have the FRC posts, followed by the zirconium posts.

In relation to the bending resistance, FRC posts have better values than the zirconium posts.
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Резиме

КОМПАРАТИВНА АНАЛИЗА НА МЕХАНИЧКИТЕ ОСОБИНИ НА ФИБЕР И ЦИРКОНИУМСКИ КОЛЧИЊА

Весна Јуруковска-Шотаровска, Билјана Капушевска

Стоматолошки факултет, Универзитет „Св Кирил и Методиј“, Скопје, Р. Македонија

Цел: Да се направи компаративна анализа на механички особини на фибер и циркониумски колчиња.

Материјал и метод: Пациентите со фибер и циркониум колчиња беа поделени во две групи со по три подгрупи, а секоја од нив се состоеше од 10 испитаници. Подгрупа I со 1,2 мм; подгрупа II со 1,35 мм и подгрупа III со 1,5 мм дијаметарски колчиња. Со помош на Shimadzu Universal Testing Machine беа мерени сила на фрактура, цврстина на свиткување и еластичност.

Резултати: Силата на фрактура за првата група измерена во првата, втората и третата подгрупа беше 34,80900N; 67,15390N; 46,53100N, а за втората група, во првата, втората и третата подгрупа беше 34,80900N; 46,53100N; 67,15390N соодветно. Цврстината на свиткување за првата група измерена во првата, втората и третата подгрупа беше 401,4420N; 444,6425N; 333,6828N, а за втората група, првата, втората и третата подгрупа беше 307,9352N; 289,1030N; 304,1649N соодветно. Цврстината на еластичност за првата група измерена во првата, втората и третата подгрупа беше 5,442267N; 4,350545N; 2,943465N, а за втората група, првата, втората и третата подгрупа беше 4,224141N; 3,751466N; 3,168756N соодветно.

Заклучоци: Најголемиот дијаметар на колчината значително ја зголемува отпорноста на фрактура во однос на двата помали дијаметри. Колку е поголем дијаметарот, толку се повисоки вредностите на цврстина на свиткување, а по ниски се вредностите на цврстина на еластичност од материјалот, што придонесува за подобрување на механичкиите својства на фибер и циркониумски колчиња.

Ключни зборови: фибер колчиња, циркониум колчиња, сила на фрактура, цврстина на свиткување, цврстина на еластичност