SOMATOFORM DISORDERS – A PEDIATRIC EXPERIENCE
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Abstract
Somatization in children consists of the persistent experience and complaints of somatic distress that cannot be fully explained by a medical diagnosis. Working at the Psychophysiological Department at the University Clinic we are dealing with more than 100 children per year manifesting this kind of disorders. The aim of this article is to summarize some specific characteristics of the somatoform disorder in a group of 243 children, mean age 10.31 (± 2.75) years for both genders, selected randomly. The used psychometric instruments are: CBCL, EPQ for children, and MMPI-201 for mothers. The obtained results showed high scores for somatization, extroversion and accentuated anxiety for children; as well as a typical Hs-Hy personality profile for mothers. The treatment with cognitive-behavior therapy and biofeedback showed very positive outcome.
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Introduction
Somatoform disorders are a group of psychological disorders in which a patient experiences physical symptoms that are inconsistent with or cannot be fully explained by any underlying general medical or neurologic condition. This entity is common in pediatric population. It is assumed that more than 50% of patients in a pediatric settings belong to this group. In a general population the somatoform disorders are present in 11% of girls and 4% of boys [1].

This group of disorders can be represented by a wide spectrum of severity, ranging from mild self-limited symptoms, such as stomachache and headache, to chronic disabling symptoms, such as seizures and paralysis. It can be said that the somatoform disorders represent the serious end of a continuum of somatic symptoms [2–5].

It is important to note that these symptoms are not intentionally produced or under voluntary control.

Somatization can be associated temporarily with psychosocial stress and persist even after the acute stressor has been resolved, resulting in the belief by the child and his/her family that the correct medical diagnosis has not yet been found. Thus, patients and families may continue to seek repeated medical treatment after being informed that no acute physical illness has been found and that the symptoms cannot be fully explained by a general medical condition. For the economical point of view, it produces unnecessary expenses in the health care system with heavy utilization of resources through repeated hospitalizations, consultations from different specialists, and ineffective investigations and treatments.

Somatoform disorders are additionally associated with poor school performance and attendance and overall impaired functioning [6–9].

The Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fourth Edition (DSM-IV)
classifies somatoform disorders in the following diagnoses: somatization disorder, undifferentiated somatoform disorder, somatoform disorder not otherwise specified (NOS), conversion disorder, pain disorder, body dysmorphic disorder, and hypochondriasis. The diagnostic criteria for the somatoform disorders were established for adults and are applied to children for lack of child-specific research base and a developmentally appropriate alternative system [10, 11].

The following criteria are required for a diagnosis of somatoform disorders:

- Four different pain sites (e.g., head, abdomen, back, joints, extremities, chest, rectum) or painful functions (e.g., menstruation, sexual intercourse, urination)
- Two gastrointestinal symptoms other than pain (e.g., nausea, bloating, vomiting, or intolerance of several different foods)
- One sexual or reproductive symptom other than pain (e.g., erectile or ejaculatory dysfunction, irregular menses, excessive menstrual bleeding)
- One pseudoneurological symptom (e.g., impaired balance, paralysis, aphonia, urinary retention)

The so-called “normal” childhood includes an extraordinary range of experiences and adaptive responses. Nevertheless, acute and chronic situations which arise could exceed a child’s ability to restore equilibrium. If sufficiently intense or prolonged, these conditions can evoke a variety of biologic and behavior responses. Such responses can lead to the development of a diagnosable disorder. When life is disrupted, often insidiously, worry, sadness, or other unpleasant thoughts and emotions can ensue, along with physical distress presenting as a myriad of bodily symptoms. This is true for both, adults and children [12-15].

It is known that response to different forms of stress is highly individual. One child might have the resilience to move through a difficult life circumstance that overwhelms another, so no single predictable sign or symptom points to the psychosomatic origin of a physical complaint. Table 1 shows the most common pediatric somatic complaints related to the age of appearance.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symptom</th>
<th>Age of appearance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Recurrent abdominal pain</td>
<td>Preschools children</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Headache</td>
<td>Schoolers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muscle pains</td>
<td>Puberty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fatigue</td>
<td>Puberty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neurological symptoms</td>
<td>Puberty</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Recurrent complaints often present as diagnostic and treatment dilemmas to the primary care practitioner or a family doctor who is trying to make sense of these symptoms. The doctors may feel poorly prepared and/or may have little time to assess or treat the somatic concerns. While the more disabling somatic complaints are more likely to be referred to a mental health professional, youngsters presenting with these disabling physical symptoms bridge both medical and psychological domains and present a puzzling quandary for professionals from either field if working with them alone. The nature of these symptoms requires an integrated medical and psychiatric treatment approach to successfully decrease the impairment caused by these disorders [16, 17].

The aim of this article is to summarize some psychological specifics of children with somatoform disorders as well to correlate this traits with mother’s personality.

**Sample and methodology**

In this article we evaluate 243 patients with somatoform complaints randomly selected from patients treated at the Department for Psychophysiology at the University Pediatric Clinic in Skopje, during a period of 5 years. The mean age of the patients was 10.31 (± 2.75) years for both genders.

The Department for Psychophysiology deals with over 1000 outpatient/year, inpatients comprising 80–100 patients/year, mainly children with somatoform disorders, eating disorders, behavior problems, ADHD, autism, OCD, anxiety disorders etc.

For the evaluated group of patients we applied interviews for mothers and children, Child Behavior Checklist (CBCL) for children
below 12 years, Eysenck Personality Questionnaire (EPQ) for children over 10 years, and Minnesota Multiphase Personality Inventory (MMPI-201) for mothers.

CBCL [18] is designed to obtain the parent’s descriptions of their own child behavior in a standardized format. There are 118 behavior problem items plus spaces for parents to write and score additional physical problems with no known medical cause. Two broadband grouping are focused: internalized and externalized. They reflect a distinction between fearful, inhibited, over controlled behavior and aggressive, antisocial, under controlled behavior. The profile can contribute to a formal diagnosis by showing the degree of child’s deviance in behaviors that parents are more likely to observe than clinicians, as well as help to structure effective training.

EPQ [19] evaluates the four classical characteristics of the personality: N (level of emotional stability/neurosis); E (dimension of extraversion/introversion); P (psychotic behavior/psychopathy) and L (degree of dissimulation or social adaptability). Our previous experience with this psychometric test confirmed the validity, reliability and discriminability of the obtained results, especially in preadolescents (10–12 years) [20].

MMPI-201 [21] contains ten clinical scales: Scale 1 – Hypochondriasis scale which measures a person’s perception and preoccupation with their health and health issues; Scale 2 – the Depression scale measures a person’s depressive symptoms level; Scale 3 – the Hysteric scale measures the emotionality of a person; Scale 4 – the Psychopathic Deviate scale measures a person’s need for control or their rebellion against control; Scale 5 – Paranoia scale measures a person’s inability to trust; Scale 6 – the Psychasthenia scale measures a person’s anxiety levels and tendencies for somatization and obsession; Scale 7 – the Schizophrenia scale measures a person’s unusual/odd cognitive, perceptual, and emotional experiences, and Scale 10 – the Mania scale measures a person’s energy, euphoria or hyperactivity.

Three scales L, F and K are validity scales and measure the readiness of the responders to this kind of examination. L scale refers to rigidity or naiveté of responder’s approach to the test material; F scale refers to confused thinking/ lack of understanding the questions or malingering; K scale refers to responses chosen to be socially acceptable.

Raw scores on the scales are transformed into a standardized metric known as T-scores (Mean or Average equals 50, Standard Deviation equals 10), making interpretation easier for clinicians. Before the analysis of the clinical scales, some criteria should be satisfied: L and K scales must be with the score ≤ 70 and F scale ≤ 80. A significant advantage of the MMPI over other self-report and observer rating scales is that it provides valid and reliable estimates of response bias.

The obtained results are statistically evaluated using Statistic 10 package.

**Results**

The sample comprises 243 children with somatoform disorders, randomly selected. The mean age of the evaluated patients was 10.31 (± 2.75) years for both genders. All of them have been outpatients at the Psychophysiology Department of the University Pediatric Clinic in Skopje, the capital of the Republic of Macedonia. The main problems were stomachache 64%; nausea/vomiting 10%; abdominal colic 16% and palpitation/short breathing 5%.

The diagnosis is confirmed using the DMS-IV-R Manuel.

The results obtained for CBCL for boys are presented on Fig. 1. As it can be seen, mothers pointed the internalized symptoms as anxiety and somatoform problems; they are over T-score which is significant.
For girls, the obtained profile is shown on Fig. 2. Similarly, somatoform complains are dominant and over T-score.

The EPQ profile for boys (Fig. 3) shows accentuated neurotic tendencies ($p<0.05$), as well as extroversion ($p < 0.05$).
For girls (Fig. 4) the EPQ results confirm the much accentuated extroversion (p < 0.05), while other personality traits are in normal values.

The EPQ results are compared with the control group of healthy children at the same age (N = 25).

Having in mind that mothers are the most important personalities for child development, we tested mothers with MMPI-201. The obtained group profile is shown on Fig. 5. The typical Hs-Hy profile confirms that mothers of these children are hypersensitive, anxious and react similarly as their children with somatization. The influence of this type of mother as a model for children’s behavior is very important not only for the diagnostics but also for the treatment strategies.
The treatment strategies we used are: cognitive-behavioral therapy, family therapy, and peripheral and neurofeedback therapy. As biofeedback modalities we applied electro dermal response (skin conductance) training comprising 10 sessions, organized one a week. Concerning neurofeedback, we used also 10 session of SMR training in Cz positions. SMR means somatosensory rhythm (12–15 Hz.) which is needed for calming the patient and to produce better cognitive abilities. The obtained results for biofeedback are very satisfactory (Fig. 6 and Fig 7).

The calculated $t$-test $10.05 (p < 0.01)**$ for both biofeedback results shows significant improvement.

We have a previously positive experience with the biofeedback therapy [22].

**Discussion and conclusion**

Being a puzzle between somatic and psychological illness, somatoform disorders can be comorbid with anxiety disorders (separation anxiety, posttraumatic stress disorder) or depression. In this context, psychological/psychiatric interventions are needed [23–29].

It is confirmed that somatoform disorders follow a developmental sequence in which young person experience some affective distress and react in some form of somatic sensations [30–32]. When the child is younger, the most dominant symptoms are recurrent abdominal pain, but later headache, neurological symp-
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Резиме

СОМАТОФОРМНИ РАСТРОЈСТВА – ПЕДИЈАТРИСКО ИСКУСТВО
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Соматизацијата кај децата се карактеризира со постојано искуство и поплаки за соматски проблеми за кои не може да се најде објаснување преку позната медицинска дијагноза. Работејки на Одделот за психофизиологија на Клиниката за педијатрија, се справуваме со над 100 деца годишно кои манифестираа вакви проблеми. Целта на овој труд е да се сумираат некои специфични карактеристики на соматоформните растројства во група од 243 деца, средна возраст 10.31 (± 2.75) години на обата пола, избрани случајно. Користен се психометриските инструменти CBCL, EPQ за децата и MMPI-201 за мајките.

Добиените резултати потврдуваат високи скорови за соматизација, екстроверзија и анксиозност, додека кај мајките е добиен карактеристичен Hs-Hy профил. Лекувањето со когнитивно-бихевиорална терапија и биофидбек покажа позитивни резултати.
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