

BIOCENOTIC COMPOSITION OF THE MACROZOOBENTHOS ON DIFFERENT HABITATS FROM THE LITTORAL REGION OF LAKE OHRID

Stoe Smiljkov¹, Sašo Trajanovski², Biljana Budzakoska-Goreska²

¹*Institute of Biology, Faculty of Natural Sciences and Mathematics,
Skopje, R. Macedonia*

²*Hidrobiological Institute, Ohrid, R. Macedonia*

Abstract: Biocenotic research on the benthic fauna from the northwestern part of Lake Ohrid, has shown that different habitats characterize different qualitative compositions of the benthic fauna.

The affinity of the macrozoobenthic communities to populate different habitats was investigated in 5 different localities from the northwestern part of Lake Ohrid. Among the five different types of habitats such as stony bottom, sandy bottom, sandy-muddy bottom and muddy bottom mostly covered with mollusc shells, it was found that from the qualitative point of view, the sandy-muddy bottom covered with vascular macrophytes is characterized with highest biodiversity of benthic fauna.

In all localities, with the exclusion of the locality As (where the macrophytic vegetation is not well developed), the maximum number of species was recorded between depth points from 3 to 11m. In the Radožda locality, the maximum number of species (11 species) was recorded at a depth point of 6m., on a bottom covered with sandy mud. In the Livadishte locality, the highest biodiversity (13 species) was recorded at a depth points of 5 and 11m., on bottom covered with sandy mud with well developed macrophytic vegetation. The localities Kališta and Struga, the maximum number of species (14 in Kališta and 11 in Struga) was also recorded in the same type of habitat, a sandy-muddy bottom with well developed macrophytic vegetation.

Key words: macrozoobenthos, biocenotic composition, habitats, Lake Ohrid.

Introduction

It is a fact that the benthic fauna from Lake Ohrid is characterized with highest biodiversity, richness and endemorelict animal elements. Although this rich animal component has a long chronology of investigation, there are only a few references in literature to biocenotic composition (all groups) of the macrozoobenthos from Lake Ohrid. Except for works of Šapkarev & Točko (1972), and Točko & Šapkarev (1978), most of the recent researches were focused on some particular group of organisms (Šapkarev, 1964; Krstanovski, 1994; Smiljkov, 1999, 2001). In addition to this, it should be mentioned that even poorer is the list of published works about the integral biocenotic (all groups of macrozoobenthos) in different habitats and different localities. There are only two works: Stankovic (1960) and Šapkarev (1967) in which the main groups from the benthic fauna of the Lake are mentioned but, in general, the researches are focused on a few localities.

The main subject in our investigations was to carry out integral biocenotic research into the composition of all groups of macrozoobenthos in different habitats and in different localities of the littoral part of Lake Ohrid.

The investigations were focused on the north-western part of the littoral region of Lake Ohrid and comprised the following localities: Radožda, Lividista, Kališta, Struga and As. Based on the structure of the sediments, it was established that the habitats could be divided to five groups: stone-sandy bottom, sandy-muddy bottom, muddy-sandy bottom, and muddy bottom covered with molluscs.

Material and methods

The samples were collected according to standard limnological methods for collecting benthal material: Lind (1985), Wetzel & Likens (1979), Wetzel (1972).

The material was collected during the summer of 2001, in five different littoral regions of Lake Ohrid. The depth points from which the samples were taken, were characterised by their different bottom texture. The samples were collected from 4 depth points (except the locality Radožda where the samples were collected from 5 depth points) starting from 1 m to 20 meters depth. Actually, the samples were collected from the beginning of the littoral to its end, or to the beginning of the shell zone.

The benthic fauna was examined by stereo microscope and determined using keys for determination of freshwater invertebrates: Šapkarev (1964); Barnes (1980); Lukin (1976); Brinkhurst & Jamieson (1978); Karaman (1976); Snegarova (1954); Hadzishce (1974); Kerovec (1986), Kellogg (1994), etc.

Results and discussion

The biocenotic composition of the bental fauna in different localities from the north-western littoral part of Lake Ohrid is shown in the tables 1–5.

According to the values in the tables, it is noticeable that different habitats are populated by different macrozoobenthic communities. By combining the results for biocenotic composition in integral form, it is possible to determine which habitat is characterized by the highest diversity or richest biocenotic composition. This is shown in tab. 6. The underlined numbers represent the maximum number of species at a certain depth. Comparing these values with the bottom facies of the relevant depth, it is obvious that the highest number of species or highest grade of biodiversity is noticed between 3 and 11 meters.

Actually, the maximum number of species (10 species) of the macrozoobenthos recorded in the locality Radožda corresponds to a depth point of 10m., where there is a bottom covered with sandy-muddy facies. On this bottom there are well developed mixed communities of macrophytic vegetation with the following dominant species: *Ceratophyllum demersum*, *Potamogeton perfoliatus*, *Myriophyllum spicatum*, *Potamogeton lucens*, *Characea* and *Cladophora* sp.

In the locality Livadište, at two depth points there were noticed 13 macrozoobenthic species. In both cases (Tab. 2.), at the depth points of 5 and 11m, the bottom was sandy and muddy covered with mixed associations of *Characeae*. At the first depth mixed associations of *Characeae* predominate, while at the second depth point pure and dense associations of *Chara ceratophylla* predominate.

In the locality Kališta, as in the other two mentioned localities, the biocenotic analyses showed that a depth point of 3 m., is characterized by the richest (14 species) qualitative composition of macrozoobenthos. The bottom at this depth is covered with sandy-muddy facies (a sandy-muddy habitat) with mixed associations of macrophytic species such as: *Zanichellia palustris*, *Potamogeton perfoliatus* and species of *Characea*.

In the Struga locality, the depth point of 5m., is qualitatively richest. At this depth the bottom is sandy-muddy covered (Tab. 4.) with macrophytic species of the POTAMETO-NAJADETUM (Micevski, 1969) association.

The biocenotic analyses of the macrozoobenthos in the As locality showed that at all depths, the qualitative composition was poorer compared with other localities. From Table 5, it is obvious that this locality is characterized by poor and rare developed macrophytic vegetation. In this locality there are the representatives from the POTAMETO-NAJADETUM (Micevski, 1969) association, together with some rare specimens of *Characeae*.

If we make an analysis of the determined species from all benthic groups, then from the given tables we can notice that the richest group in a

Table 1–5 Qualitative composition of the macrozoobenthos at different localities and depths in the littoral region of Lake Ohrid

Table 1

RADOZDA

<u>Depth</u> (m)	<u>Facies</u>	<u>List of species</u>	<u>A m⁻²</u>	Tot. num.
4	Sand, middle-sized stones and sandy-muddy bottom	<i>Tubifex tubifex</i> <i>Dreissena polymorpha</i> <i>Chylopyrgula sturanyi</i> <i>Radix relicta</i> <i>Gammarus roeselii</i> <i>Asellus aquaticus</i> <i>Chironomus plumosus</i>	89 666 222 44 133 178 266	1598
4	<i>Potamogeton perfoliatus</i> , <i>Potamogeton lucens</i> , <i>Myriophyllum spicatum</i> , <i>Vallisneria spiralis</i> and <i>Cladophora</i> sp.	<i>Tubifex tubifex</i> <i>Eiseniella ochridana</i> <i>Glossiphonia pulchella</i> <i>Dreissena polymorpha</i> <i>Valvata stenotrema</i> <i>Gammarus roeselii</i> <i>Asellus aquaticus</i> <i>Chironomus plumosus</i> <i>Cricotopus algarum</i>	44 89 133 1154 178 178 89 44 89	1998
6	Sandy-muddy bottom with: <i>Ceratophyllum demersum</i> , <i>Potamogeton perfoliatus</i> , <i>Myriophyllum spicatum</i> , <i>Potamogeton lucens</i> , Characeae and <i>Cladophora</i> sp.	<i>Phagocata ochridana</i> <i>Criodrilus lacuum</i> <i>Glossiphonia pulchella</i> <i>Dreissena polymorpha</i> <i>Chylopyrgula sturanyi</i> <i>Theodoxus fluviatilis dalmaticus</i> <i>Gamarus ochridensis ochridensis</i> <i>Gammarus roeselii</i> <i>Asellus aquaticus</i> <i>Caenis macrura</i> <i>Chironomus plumosus</i>	44 89 178 1998 44 44 178 311 89 89 189	3253
9	<i>Chara ceratophylla</i>	<i>Limnodrilus hoffmaesteri</i> <i>Rhynchelmis komareki typica</i> <i>Cystobranchus pawlowskii</i> <i>Glossiphonia maculosa</i> <i>Glossiphonia pulchella</i> <i>Dreissena polymorpha</i> <i>Gammarus roeselii</i> <i>Asellus aquaticus</i> <i>Chironomus plumosus</i>	44 89 44 178 266 6615 355 44 89	7724
19	Muddy bottom with small-grained sand and shells of Gastropoda and Bivalvia	<i>Dendrocoelum magnum</i> <i>Dendrocoelum sanctnaumi</i> <i>Glossiphonia pulchella</i> <i>Dina lepinja</i> <i>Dreissena polymorpha</i> <i>Valvata stenotrema</i> <i>Asellus arnautovici litoralis</i>	89 44 44 44 3774 89 89	4173

Table 2

LIVADISTE

<u>Depth (m)</u>	<u>Facies</u>	<u>List of species</u>	<u><i>A m⁻²</i></u>	Tot num.
2	Sandy bottom with small-sized rocks and macrophytic species: <i>Potamogeton perfoliatus</i>, <i>Potamogeton lucens</i>, <i>Characeae</i> and <i>Cladophora</i> <i>sp.</i>	<i>Limnodrilus hoffmeisteri</i> <i>Dreissena polymorpha</i> <i>Valvata stenotrema</i> <i>Radix relicta</i> <i>Gammarus roeselii triacanthus</i> <i>Caenis macrura</i> <i>Chironomus plumosus</i>	89 132 89 44 89 44 176	663
5	Sandy mud with species of <i>Characeae</i>	<i>Criodrilus lacuum</i> <i>Eiseniella tetraedra. typica</i> <i>Glossiphonia pulchella</i> <i>Dina krilata</i> <i>Dreissena polymorpha</i> <i>Sphaerium corneum</i> <i>Chylopyrgula sturanyi</i> <i>Ginaia munda</i> <i>Pyrgohydrobia grochmalickii</i> <i>Valvata stenotrema</i> <i>Gammarus roeselii</i> <i>Asellus remyi typicus</i> <i>Chironomus plumosus</i>	178 89 44 44 176 44 132 44 44 44 44 89 89 89	1106
11	Muddy bottom with <i>Chara ceratophylla</i>	<i>Phagocata ochridana</i> <i>Pothamotrix hammoniensis</i> <i>Criodrilus lacuum</i> <i>Glossiphonia complanata complanata</i> <i>Dina krilata</i> <i>Dreissena polymorpha</i> <i>Chylopyrgula sturanyi</i> <i>Viviparus viviparus</i> <i>Valvata stenotrema</i> <i>Radix relicta</i> <i>Gamarus ochridensis ochridensis</i> <i>Gammarus roeselii</i> <i>Polypedulum biceantum</i>	89 133 89 89 178 444 176 89 132 89 178 266 44	1996
19	Shell zone	<i>Pothamotrix hammoniensis</i> <i>Dina krilata</i> <i>Dreissena polymorpha</i> <i>Gamarus ochridensis ochridensis</i> <i>Asellus aquaticus</i>	178 44 222 89 178	711

Table 3

KALISTA

<u>Depth (m)</u>	<u>Facies</u>	<u>List of species</u>	<u>A·m⁻²</u>	<u>Tot. num.</u>
1	Mediu-sized rocks without detritus	<i>Dendrocoelum maculatum</i> <i>Limnodrilus udekamianus</i> <i>Dreissena polymorpha</i> <i>Valvata stenotrema</i>	44 89 44 178	355
3	Sandy-muddy bottom with: <i>Zanichellia palustris</i>, <i>Potamogeton perfoliatus</i> and species of <i>Characea</i>	<i>Limnodrilus hoffmeisteri</i> <i>Limnodrilus udekamianus</i> <i>Allolobophora lacusrtis</i> <i>Glossiphonia maculosa</i> <i>Glossiphonia pulchella</i> <i>Dreissena polymorpha</i> <i>Chylopyrgula sturanyi</i> <i>Valvata rhabdota</i> <i>Gammarus roeselii</i> <i>Gammarus roeselii triacanthus</i> <i>Asellus djordjevici litoralis</i> <i>Polypedulum bicrenatum</i> <i>Polypedulum pedestre</i> <i>Odontocerum sp.</i>	89 44 222 89 44 266 178 44 178 89 133 400 44 44	1864
8	Muddy bottom with dense associations of <i>Chara ceratophylla</i>	<i>Limnodrilus hoffmeisteri</i> <i>Criodrilus lacuum</i> <i>Eiseniella tetraedra. typica</i> <i>Dina krilata</i> <i>Dreissena polymorpha</i> <i>Chylopyrgula sturanyi</i> <i>Gammarus roeselii</i> <i>Polypedulum bicrenatum</i>	133 89 310 133 900 133 488 89	2275
15	Muddy bottom	<i>Dendrocoelum sanctinaumi</i> <i>Criodrilus lacuum</i> <i>Eiseniella tetraedra. typica</i> <i>Dina krilata</i> <i>Dreissena polymorpha</i> <i>Gammarus roeselii</i> <i>Asellus aquaticus</i> <i>Polypedulum bicrenatum</i>	44 44 133 44 444 44 178 44	975

Table 4

STRUGA

<u>Depth (m)</u>	<u>Facies</u>	<u>List of species</u>	<u>A m⁻²</u>	<u>Tot. num.</u>
1	Sandy bottom with rare species of <i>Characea</i>	<i>Tubifex tubifex</i> <i>Psammoryctes ochridanus variabilis</i> <i>Dreissena polymorpha</i> <i>Valvata stenotrema</i>	44 9 133 44	310
5	ass. POTAMETO-NAJADETUM Sandy-muddy bottom with macrophytic species: <i>Potamogeton perfoliatus</i> , <i>Potamogeton pectinatus</i> , <i>Myriophyllum spicatum</i> , <i>Najas minor</i> , <i>Cladophora</i> sp., also species of <i>Characea</i> , with dominant species <i>Chara ceratophylla</i>	<i>Criodrilus lacuum</i> <i>Glossiphonia maculosa</i> <i>Dina krilata</i> <i>Dina</i> sp. <i>Dreissena polymorpha</i> <i>Ginaia munda</i> <i>Gammarus roeselii triacanthus</i> <i>Gammarus roeselii ochridensis</i> <i>Asellus djordjevici litoralis</i> <i>Haliplus triops</i> <i>Sialis lutaria</i>	44 44 89 44 1021 44 44 133 44 89 133	1729
8	Mud with pure associations of <i>Chara ceratophylla</i>	<i>Criodrilus lacuum</i> <i>Cystobranchus pavlovskii</i> <i>Glossiphonia complanata complanata</i> <i>Dina</i> sp. <i>Dreissena polymorpha</i> <i>Chironomus plumosus</i> <i>Polypedatum bicrenatum</i> <i>Cricotopus algarum</i>	133 44 44 44 266 44 189 133	897
15	Muddy bottom	<i>Dendrocoelum magnum</i> <i>Dina krilata</i> <i>Dreissena polymorpha</i> <i>Asellus djordjevici litoralis</i> <i>Chironomus plumosus</i>	44 4 133 89 89	399

Table 5

AS

<u>Depth (m)</u>	<u>Facies</u>	<u>List of species</u>	<u>A m⁻²</u>	<u>Tot. num</u>
2	<u>ass. POTAMETO-NAJADETUM</u> Sandy bottom with <i>Potamogeton perfoliatus</i> and <i>Najas major</i>	<i>Tubifex tubifex</i> <i>Polypedatum birenatum</i>	44 89	133
3	Small-grained sand	<i>Psammoryctes ochridanus. variabilis</i> <i>Dina krilata</i> <i>Chylopyrgula sturanyi</i> <i>Polypedatum birenatum</i> <i>Procladius choreus</i>	178 178 44 266 189	855
6	Muddy bottom covered with turf <i>chara</i> (<i>Chara spp.</i>)	<i>Psammoryctes ochridanus. variabilis</i> <i>Dreissena polymorpha</i> <i>Sphaerium corneum</i> <i>Chironomus plumosus</i>	222 222 355 266	1065
19	Shell zone	<i>Dina krilata</i> <i>Gammarus roeselii</i> <i>Asellus remyi acatangulus</i> <i>Aellus arnautovici litoralis</i>	89 44 133 89	355

Table 6

Total number of macrozoobenthos species at different depths in all investigated localities from the north-western littoral region of Lake Ohrid

Depth. (m)	Radožda	Livadište	Kališta	Struga	As
1	-	-	2	4	-
2	-	6	-	-	2
3	-	-	<u>11</u>	-	3
4 (without veg.)	<u>9</u>	-	-	-	-
4 (with veg.)	<u>7</u>	-	-	-	-
5	-	<u>13</u>	-	<u>10</u>	-
6	<u>11</u>	-	-	-	4
8	-	-	8	6	-
9	6	-	-	-	-
11	-	<u>13</u>	-	-	-
15	-	-	8	5	-
19	5	5	-	-	1

qualitative sense is the group of *Insecta*, with 9 species. The second place is taken by *Gastropoda* (8 species), third are *Hirudinea* and *Oligochaeta* (7 species). 4 species were determined in classes of *Turbellaria*, *Amphipoda* and *Isopoda*, while a poorer qualitative composition was noticed in the class of *Bivalvia* – 2 species.

Obviously, a sandy-muddy habitat with vascular macrophytic species and *Characea* communities is the most attractive habitat with the richest biocenotic composition and diversity of the benthic fauna. This is reasonable because this microhabitat and its complexity offer good living conditions to the benthic animals. According to Allan (1995), the diversity and density of benthic invertebrates increases in a mixed habitat with middle-sized particles, while they decrease on a substrate covered with stones, especially rocky stones. The same author stresses that benthic animals show a higher affinity in the colonization of mixed substrates with medium-sized particles. In such cases, these particles may interact with organic particles and to build a stable substrate. The sandy-muddy bottom of Lake Ohrid is such a kind of habitat in which macrophytic vegetation develops. The development of macrophytic vegetation enables conditions for the creation of microhabitats (Trajanovski, 2004), which also gives a wide range of opportunities for colonization of macrozoobenthos. The macrophytic vegetation is a very important habitat for the macrozoobenthos. This fact is pointed out in the investigations of many authors such as: Stanković (1960); Allen (1977); Mastrantuono (1993); Wetzel (1975) *et al.* All these authors agree that the richness and complexity of the living conditions in the zone of macrophytic vegetations attract the benthic communities.

It is well known that in the zone of macrophytic vegetation the processes of photosynthesis are intensified which contributes to good oxygen saturation of the water. At the same time, the macrophytic vegetation (the habitus, the branches, steams, and leaves often form dense nets) giving protection from predators (mostly fish and birds) and serving as shelter to the benthic animals. The dense macrophytic vegetation at the same time is a place where the majority of the macrozoobenthic species lay their eggs protected in cocoons (*Hirudinea*, *Oligochaeta*), or spend a part of their life living on the macrophytic vegetation, whether on the surface – species of *Dreissena polymorpha* and *Gastropoda* or in the stems or roots of reeds, as is case with the species from the group of *Insecta*.

On the other hand, the macrophytic vegetation is a food resource itself for many species of the macrozoobenthos. Many benthic animals, especially *Insecta* larvae, feed on the young parts of the macrophytic species. After the period of vegetation, during the autumn and winter period, the greater part of macrophytic vegetation dies and drops to the Lake bottom enriching the sedimentary layer with food materials and mineral material that are food for many species of *Oligochaeta* and *Insecta*. But, the role and the importance of the macrophytic vegetation do not stop during the winter period. In Lake Ohrid,

according to Trajanovska (2002), some of the macrophytic vegetation, or more specifically the algae from *Characeae* exists during the winter (with minimal development and biomass production) and plays the role of a habitat, giving protection from predators and serving as a food resource.

Conclusions

According to our results and discussion we can conclude that the maximum number of species, and highest biodiversity of macrozoobenthos in Lake Ohrid was found at depth points between 3 and 10 meters. In almost all investigated localities, those depth points correspond to well-developed vascular macrophytic species.

The highest biodiversity was noticed in the Kališta locality at a depth point of 3 m. (14 species) and Livadište at a depth point of 11 m. (14 species). In both cases the bottom facies presented the same type of habitat: a sandy-muddy bottom covered with macrophytic vegetation.

In qualitative sense, the qualitatively richest group is *Insecta*, with 9 species. They follow: *Gastropoda* (8 species), *Hirudinea* and *Oligochaeta* (7 species). *Turbellaria*, *Amphipoda* and *Isopoda* were represented with 4 species and *Bivalvia* with 2 species.

Considering the fact that the macrophytic vegetation and the bottom facies on which it develops, a sandy-muddy bottom, is located in the upper littoral zone of the Lake, the zone where the anthropogenic influence is strongest, it is necessary in the future to pay more attention to the protection of this zone. Knowing the importance of this zone for the rich and endemic benthic fauna, it is necessary to start an active protection of the macrophytic vegetation which will lead to the protection of the benthic fauna as a constitutive part of the macrophytic vegetation.

REFERENCES

1. Allan, J. D. (1995): Stream Ecology. Structure and function of running waters. – Chapman & Hall. London, Glasgow, Weinheim, New York, Tokyo, Melbourne, Madras, 377 pp.
2. Allen, H. L. and Ocevski, B. T. (1977): Limnological studies in a large, deep oligotrophic lake (Lake Ohrid, Yugoslavia). A summary of nutritional radiobioassay of the pelagic phytoplankton. *Hydrobiologia*, 53 (1): 49–54.
3. Brinkhurst, R. O. and Jamieson, B. G. (1978): Aquatic Oligochaeta of the world. Oliver & Boyd, Edinburgh.

4. Barnes, R. D. (1980): Invertebrate Zoology, Saunders College, Philadelphia.
5. Karaman, G. (1976): Contribution to the Knowledge of the Amphipoda 75. Description of a new species of the genus *Gammarus* (family *Gammaridae*) from the Lake Ohrid (G. Stankokaramani n. sp.). *Poljoprivreda i šumarstvo*, Titograd.
6. Kerovec, M. (1986): *Priručnik za upoznavanje Beskralješnjaka naših potoka i rijeka*. Sveučilišna Naklada Liber, Zagreb. Posebno izdanje.
7. Kellogg, Loren Larkin (1994): Save Our Streams. Monitor's Guide to Aquatic Macroinvertebrates. Second Ed. Izaak Walton League of America. 60 pp.
8. Крстановски, З. (1994): Биосистематски и еколошки истражувања на планариите од Охридското, Преспанското и Дојранското Езеро со нивните крајбрежни води. Докторска дисертација. Унив. „Св. Кирил и Методиј“, Природно-математички факултет, Институт за биологија, Скопје.
9. Lind, O. T. (1985): Handbook of common methods in limnology. Sec. Ed. Kendall/Hunt Publ. Comp. Dubuque, p. 199.
10. Lukin, E. I. (1976): Fauna SSSR: Leeches (First part). Scientific Academy, Institute for Zoology, published by "Nauka", Leningrad.
11. Mastranduono, L. (1993): Zoobenthos associated with submerged macrophytes and evaluation of trophic status in lakes. Verh. Internat. Verein. Limnol. 25, 780–783, Stuttgart.
12. Мицевски, К. (1969): Водна вегетација на Охридското и Преспанското Езеро. *ACTA Musei Macedonici Scientiarum Naturalium*, Skopje, 11, 4. (94). p. 61–80.
13. Смиљков, С. (1999). Таксономско-еколошки истражувања на хирономидната фауна (Diptera: Chironomidae) од Охридското Езеро и неговите крајбрежни води. Докторска дисертација, Универзитет „Св. Кирил и Методиј“, ПМФ, Институт за биологија, Скопје.
14. Smiljkov, S. (2001): Ecology and dynamics of Chironomidae fauna larva (Diptera: Chironomidae) from Ohrid Lake, *Contributions*, Sec. Biol. Med. Sci., MASA, XXII, 1–2, p. 47–56, Skopje.
15. Snegarova, Lj. (1954): Fauna of Gastropods on the Lake Ohrid. Acta. published by Museum for Natural Sciences, Skopje.
16. Stankovic, S. (1960): The Balkan Lake Ohrid and its living world. Uitgeverij Dr. W. Junk, Den Haag, monogr. biol. 9, pp. 357, Stuttgart.
17. Tocko, M., Sapkarev, J. (1978): Annual variations of the important zoobenthic populations in Lake Ohrid. Ver. Internat. Verein. limnol.

18. Trajanovski, S., Trajanovska S., Budzakoska, B. (2004): The belt of reeds (*Phragmites communis* Trin) from Lake Ohrid as a habitat for the rich and endemic macrozoobenthic communities. BALWOIS: Conference on Water Observation and Information System for Decision Support. Ohrid (in press).
19. Трајановска, С. (2002): Динамика на биомасата и продукција во појасот на харата (*Chara spp.*) од Охридското Езеро. Магистерски труд. Универзитет „Св. Кирил и Методиј“ ПМФ, Институт за биологија, Скопје.
20. Šapkarev, J. (1964): The fauna of *Hirudinea* from Macedonia. Zoological Institute, Department for Fishery, Skopje.
21. Шапкарев, Ј. (1967): Прилог кон вертикалното распределување на зообентосот во милта на Охридско Езеро. Годишен зборник на Природно-математички факултет на Универзитетот во Скопје, книга 19 (1966).
22. Šapkarev, J., Tocko, M. (1972): Dynamics of the biomass of bottom fauna from Ohrid Lake, Macedonia. Verh. Internat. Verein. Limnol. 18, pp. 494–504.
23. Wetzel R. G. (1972): The rule of carbon in hard-water marl lakes. Bo: Nutrients and eutrophication, ed. G. E. Likens. Lawrence-Kansas. American Society of Limnology and Oceanography. Sp. Symposium 1: 14–20.
24. Wetzel R. G., (1975): Limnology. W. B. Saunders Company, Philadelphia. London. Toronto, pp. 743.
25. Wetzel, R. G. & Likens, G. (1979): Limnological Analyses. W. B. Saunders Comp. Philadelphia, London, Toronto, pp. 263–270.

Резиме

БИОЦЕНОЛОШКИ СОСТАВ НА МАКРОЗООБЕНТОСОТ НА РАЗЛИЧНИ ХАБИТАТИ ОД ЛИТОРАЛНИОТ РЕГИОН НА ОХРИДСКОТО ЕЗЕРО

Стое Смиљков¹, Сашо Трајановски², Билјана Будзакоска-Гореска²

¹Институт за биологија, Природно-математички факултет,
Скопје, Р. Македонија

²Хидробиолошки институт, Охрид, Р. Македонија

Биоценолошките истражувања на бенталната фауна од северозападниот регион на Охридското Езеро покажаа дека различни типови хабитати се одликуваат со различен квалитативен состав на видови од бенталната фауна.

Афинитетот на заедниците од макрозообентосот на Езерото кон различни типови на хабитати беше испитуван во пет локалитети од северозападниот регион на Охридското Езеро. Помеѓу петте типови на хабитати: каменесто дно, песокливо-тињесто дно, тињесто-песокливо, тињесто и дно прекриено со черупки од *Mollusca*, утврдено беше дека во квалитативна смисла, односно со најголемо видово богатство се одликува хабитатот песокливо-тињесто дно на кое се развиваат вакуларни макрофитски видови и видови од *Characeae*.

Во сите истражувани локалитети, со исклучок на локалитеот Ас, каде што макрофитската вегетација е слабо развиена или отсуствува, максимален број видови од макрозообентосот е забележан во длабочинските граници од 3 до 11 м. Во локалитетот Радожда, 11 видови (максимален број) се забележани на длабочина од 6 м, на facies прекриен со песоклива тиња. Во Ливадиште максималниот број на видови е забележан на длабочините од 5 и 11 м (13 видови), исто така на подлога од песоклива тиња на која се развиваат макрофитски видови. И во локалитетот Калишта максималниот број видови (14) е регистриран на песокливо-тињесто дно со макрофитски видови, како и во локалитетот Струга каде што на иститот тип хабитат се регистрирани 11 видови од макрозообентосот на длабочина од 5 метри.